

Properties and Basic Generics

January 30, 2008

1 Properties

2 Basic Generics

Recall last lecture:

```
// Create a form (i.e. a window)
Form theForm = new Form();

// Set the title
theForm.Text = "My_Window";
```

Recall last lecture:

```
// Create a form (i.e. a window)
```

```
Form theForm = new Form();
```

```
// Set the title
```

```
theForm.Text = "My_Window";
```

Q. Is theForm.Text really a member?

Recall last lecture:

```
// Create a form (i.e. a window)
```

```
Form theForm = new Form();
```

```
// Set the title
```

```
theForm.Text = "My_Window";
```

Q. Is theForm.Text really a member?

A. No. theForm.Text is a *property*.

Properties provide special syntax for methods.

- A property consists of two methods: get and set.
- Clients call set with assignment notation
e.g. `theForm.Text = "My_Window";`
- Clients call get with member read notation
e.g. `WriteLine(theForm.Text)`
- Each property access runs a method.

Property Example (I/III)

```
public class Temperature{
    private double myKelvin;

    public double Kelvin{
        get{
            //Think "public double get()"
            return myKelvin;
        }
        set{
            //Think "public void set(double value)"
            myKelvin = value;
        }
    }
    ...
}
```

Property Example (II/III)

```
...  
public double Fahrenheit{  
    get{  
        return myKelvin*(9.0/5.0) - 459.67;  
    }  
    set{  
        myKelvin = (5.0/9.0)*(value + 459.67);  
    }  
}  
}
```


Property Example (III/III)

```
public class Runner{  
    public static void Main(string [] args)  
    {  
        Temperature Temp = new Temperature();  
        Temp.Fahrenheit = 32.0;  
        Console.Out.WriteLine(Temp.Kelvin);  
    }  
}
```

Output: 273.15 (that's the right answer)

C# 3.0 has special syntax for declaring simple properties.

```
public class Temperature{  
  
    // Compiler automatically generates private  
    // member, getter, and setter  
    public double Kelvin { get; set; }  
  
    public double Fahrenheit{  
        get{  
            return Kelvin*(9.0/5.0) - 459.67;  
        }  
        set{  
            Kelvin = (5.0/9.0)*(value + 459.67);  
        }  
    }  
}
```

When should I use a ...

- ...public member?
- ...property?
- ...method?

When should I use a ...

- ...public member?
Only in trivial situations. Public members are not robust against design changes.
- ...property?

- ...method?

When should I use a . . .

- . . . public member?
Only in trivial situations. Public members are not robust against design changes.
- . . . property?
 - The getter has no (observable) side effects.
 - The getter does not throw exceptions.
 - Both get and set return almost immediately (no long computations or database queries)
- . . . method?

When should I use a . . .

- . . . public member?
Only in trivial situations. Public members are not robust against design changes.
- . . . property?
 - The getter has no (observable) side effects.
 - The getter does not throw exceptions.
 - Both get and set return almost immediately (no long computations or database queries)
- . . . method? Any other time.

Access limited properties.

```
public class Misc {
    int myNumber;

    // A property with a private getter. Only
    // members of Misc can read .DropBox
    public int DropBox {
        set{
            myNumber = value;
        }
        private get{
            return myNumber;
        }
    }

    public int PrivateSet { get; private set; }
}
```

Read-only and write-only properties

```
public class GetSetOnly{
    private int myX, myY;

    // A read-only property: a common pattern
    public int X { get { return myX; } }

    // Write only patterns are considered bad style
    public int Y { set { myY = value; } }
}
```


Technical notes about properties

- Properties compile to method calls, not member access
- So properties can't implement members in interfaces
- Properties are optimized to be roughly as fast as member access

1 Properties

2 Basic Generics

Generics allow types (e.g. classes and delegates) to be parameterized by types.

- Provide extra compile-time type information
- Provide opportunities for compiler optimizations.
- Allow the compiler to catch bugs that would otherwise happen at runtime.
- Enhance code readability.
- Reduce need for downcasts (which are expensive and can throw exceptions).

Example: a specialized “option” class.

```
public class IntOption{
    private bool isFull; private int contents;

    public bool Empty { get {return !isFull;} }

    public int GetValue() {
        if (isFull) return contents;

        throw new Exception("GetValue_of_Empty");
    }

    public IntOption() { isFull = false; }

    public IntOption(int x){
        isFull = true; contents = x; }
}
```

Example: Using the specialized option class.

```
public class Runner{
    public static IntOption div(int x, int y){
        if (y==0)
            return new IntOption ();
        else
            return new IntOption(x / y);
    }

    public static void Main(string [] args)
    {
        Console.Out.WriteLine (div (3 ,4).Empty); // false
        Console.Out.WriteLine (div (3 ,0).Empty); // true
    }
}
```

Example: A generic option class.

```
public class GenOption<T>{
    private bool isFull; private T contents;

    public bool Empty { get {return !isFull;} }

    public T GetValue() {
        if (isFull) return contents;

        throw new Exception("GetValue_of_Empty");
    }

    public GenOption() { isFull = false; }

    public GenOption(T x){
        isFull = true; contents = x; }
}
```

Example: Using the generic option class.

```
public class Runner{
    public static GenOption<int> div(int x, int y){
        if (y==0)
            return new GenOption<int>();
        else
            return new GenOption<int>(x / y);
    }

    public static void Main(string [] args)
    {
        Console.Out.WriteLine (div (3 ,4).Empty); // false
        Console.Out.WriteLine (div (3 ,0).Empty); // true
    }
}
```

Generics vs. Generics vs. Templates

- C++ Templates
 - Template expansion is static: each template instantiation creates a new compile-time class.
 - Templates can't live in compiled libraries—only headers.
 - Templates expansion = Turing complete programming language(!)
- Java Generics
 - Similar semantics to C#
 - Implemented by type erasure; no runtime support in JVM
 - Poor support for reflection
 - Legacy code can break apparent type guarantees for generic objects.
- C#Generics
 - CLR (.Net virtual machine) has support for generics
 - Generics can be specialized to used native types at runtime
 - Type parameters preserved at runtime, and can be queried by reflection.